

Draft Responses to Stakeholder Deferral Process Questions/Requests – For Discussion

Nevada Division of Environmental Protection

| PRE ROUNDTABLE ITEMS | NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST POTENTIALLY RELATED AUTHORITIES | NDEP EXPECTATION UNDER DEFERRAL |
|--|--|--|
| 1. Financial Support for the Tribal Environmental Department | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CERCLA § 104(d) – Authorizes EPA to enter into a cooperative agreement with a Tribal government to carry out response actions if the Tribe is deemed capable of carrying out and enforcing such actions. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NDEP agrees that the Tribal Environmental Department is an important component to the Site cleanup effort. • NDEP requests information related to the financial support the Tribe has received from EPA and the Tribe's expenditures for Site related Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study activities. • If the Tribe receives continued financial support for its Environmental Department after Site deferral, NDEP will request that the Tribe segregate and separately account for this funding and its expenditures from any other funding the Tribe receives for Site related Remedial Investigation, Feasibility Study, Remedial Design, Remedial Action activities. • NDEP requires Tribal assistance in finding legal support for the requested funding. |
| 2. Financial Support for Community Education through Tribal Education Department | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NCP §§ 300.430(c), 300.430(f)(3), 300.435(c) – Define community relations requirements for CERCLA response activities. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NDEP agrees that community education and involvement are vital to the success of Site response actions after deferral. • The Deferral Agreement requires NDEP to implement a Community Involvement and Participation Plan for the Site. • NDEP is open to considering Tribal community outreach as part of this plan, or, alternatively, sharing resources with the Tribe to educate Tribal community members about Site response actions. • NDEP requires Tribal assistance in finding legal support for the requested funding. |

| PRE ROUNDTABLE ITEMS | NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST POTENTIALLY RELATED AUTHORITIES | NDEP EXPECTATION UNDER DEFERRAL |
|--|---|---|
| 3. Process for Tribal Review, Comment, Participation in and Approval of Work plans, Reports, and Other Site Decisional Documents | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CERCLA § 126(a) – Provides that EPA and federal recognized Tribes have a government to government relationship. • CERCLA §104(c)(2) – Requires EPA to consult with tribes on remedial actions. • CERCLA § 104(d) – Authorizes EPA to enter into a cooperative agreement with a Tribe to carry out response actions if the Tribe is deemed capable of carrying out such actions. • EPA Policy (1984) – Requires EPA to assure that Tribal interests are considered whenever EPA’s actions and/or decisions may affect reservation environments. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NDEP considers Tribal consultation on decisional documents a key component to the success of Site deferral. • NDEP will follow EPA’s policy with respect to Tribal consultation on decisional documents. • NDEP requires Tribal assistance in finding legal support for granting the Tribe authority to approve decisional documents after Site deferral. |
| 4. Inclusion of Onsite Cultural Monitors | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NHPA § 106 – Requires federal agencies to take into account the effect of their actions on any district, site, building, structure, or object that is included or is eligible to be included in the National Register of Historic Places. • 36 CFR §§ 800.3 – 800.13 – Outlines the process for consulting with Tribal Historic Preservation Offices and Tribes, identifying historic properties, determining whether and how such properties may be affected, and resolving adverse effects. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NDEP acknowledges and understands that Tribal cultural resources must be protected and preserved if they are encountered during Site cleanup. • NDEP anticipates that issues related to protecting and preserving Tribal cultural resources will be evaluated in the remedial investigation and feasibility study. • NDEP will require a sufficient number of personnel, who work at the Site on a daily basis, receive cultural resource training through the Tribe. |
| 5. Preference for Local and Tribal Contractors Through State Bidding Process | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CERCLA § 105(f) – Requires EPA to consider the availability of qualified minority firms in awarding contracts under CERCLA. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NDEP is working with NDOT to identify the State’s bidding practices that provide opportunities for awarding contracts to qualified local and tribal contractors who bid on projects at the Site and employees to work at the Site. • NDEP requires Tribal assistance in finding legal support for this bidding practice as it relates to Tribal contractors. |

| PRE ROUNDTABLE ITEMS | NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST POTENTIALLY RELATED AUTHORITIES | NDEP EXPECTATION UNDER DEFERRAL |
|--|---|--|
| 6. Settle all NRDA Claims | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CERCLA § 104(b)(2) – Requires EPA to coordinate with the natural resource trustees on assessments, investigations, and planning. • CERCLA § 122(j)(1) – Requires EPA to notify natural resource trustees of negotiations with potentially responsible parties and encourage natural resource trustees to participate in such negotiations. • NCP § 615(c)(1)(i-iv) – Defines the actions natural resource trustees may take after notification or discovery of an injury, loss, or threat to natural resources under their trusteeship. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NDEP will enter into an agreement with ARC which preserves NDEP’s natural resource damage claims after deferral. • NDEP encourages its co-trustees, including the Tribe, to pursue similar agreements with ARC. • NDEP understands that the natural resource trustees will continue to receive funding from the Department of Interior for natural resource damage assessment, investigation, and planning after Site deferral if the natural resources trustees provide sufficient assurance that their claims are properly preserved and that NRD assessment activities are making adequate progress. • NDEP will comply with CERCLA and NCP requirements of trustee notification and coordination after Site deferral. |
| 7. Complete a Site Wide, Comprehensive Storm Water Management Plan and Emergency Response Plan Prior to Deferral | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NCP § 300.430 – Requires a remedial investigation, feasibility study, and remedy selection for operable units at the Site. • NCP § 300.430 – Requires remedial design and remedial action to be in conformance with the remedy selected and set forth in the ROD. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NDEP anticipates that a Site wide comprehensive storm water management plan and Emergency Response Plan will be evaluated, if appropriate, as part of the CERCLA process and remedy selection. |
| 8. Use of CERCLA or UMTRA, Whichever Provides the Higher Level of Protection | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The UMTRA and applicable regulations do not apply to the Site because it was not mined for uranium. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NDEP and EPA will enter into a Deferral Agreement which requires Site cleanup to be CERCLA protective. |
| 9. Payment of All Current and Future Expenses (Including Historical) for Water Treatment on the Reservation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CERCLA § 126(a) – Provides that EPA and federal recognized tribes have a government to government relationship. • CERCLA § 104(c)(2) – Requires EPA to consult with tribes on remedial actions. • CERCLA § 104(d) – Authorizes EPA to enter into a cooperative agreement with a tribe to carry out response actions if the | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NDEP anticipates that the Tribe’s request for payment of current and future water treatment at the reservation will be resolved, if appropriate, through remedial investigation, a feasibility study, and remedy selection and implementation; or, alternatively, through natural resource damage assessment, investigation, planning, and recovery. |

| PRE ROUNDTABLE ITEMS | NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST POTENTIALLY RELATED AUTHORITIES | NDEP EXPECTATION UNDER DEFERRAL |
|----------------------|---|--|
| | <p>tribe is deemed capable of carrying out such actions.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EPA Policy (1984) – Requires EPA to assure that tribal interests are considered whenever EPA’s actions and/or decisions may affect reservation environments. • NCP § 300.430 – Defines the requirements for remedial investigation, feasibility study, and remedy selection. • CERCLA § 104(b)(2) – Requires coordination with the natural resource trustees on assessments, investigations, and planning. • CERCLA § 122(j)(1) – Requires that natural resource trustees are notified of negotiations with potentially responsible parties and encouraged to participate in such negotiations. • NCP § 615(c)(1)(i-iv) – Defines the actions natural resource trustees may take after notification or discovery of an injury, loss, or threat to natural resources under their trusteeship. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NDEP does not consider this issue as one that may be resolved prior to deferral. |

| PRE ROUNDTABLE ITEMS | NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST POTENTIALLY RELATED AUTHORITIES | NDEP EXPECTATION UNDER DEFERRAL |
|--|--|---|
| <p>10. Support Economic Development Plan as the Tribe Transitions to Less Water Intensive Industry</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CERCLA 104(k)(1)(G) – Tribes are eligible for Brownfields funding. • CERCLA 101(39)(B) - Exclusions include a facility: (1) subject to a planned or ongoing CERCLA removal action, (2) listed or proposed for listing on the National Priorities List, (3) subject to a unilateral administrative order, court order, administrative order on consent, or consent decree under CERCLA. • CERCLA § 107(q)(1)(A) – Exempts from owner or operator liability persons that own land contaminated solely by a release from contiguous, or similarly situated property owned by someone else, if the person: (1) did not cause or contribute to the release or threatened release, (2) is not potentially liable or affiliated with any other person potentially liable, (3) exercises appropriate care in respect to the release, (4) provides full cooperation, assistance, and access to persons authorized to undertake the response action and natural resource restoration, (5) complies with all land use controls and does not impede the performance of any institutional controls, (6) complies with all information requests, (7) provides all the legally required notices regarding releases of hazardous substances, (8) conducted all appropriate inquiry at time of purchase and did not know or have reason to know of contamination. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NDEP anticipates that the tribe will continue to be eligible for Brownfields funding through the EPA or the NDEP managed State Brownfields Program for areas of the reservation that are not being directly funded for assessment or cleanup under a deferral agreement scenario. Areas of the reservation that have either been directly or indirectly impacted by former activities from the Anaconda Mine site will most likely not be eligible for Brownfields funding if private funding is supporting assessment and cleanup. |

| PRE ROUNDTABLE ITEMS | NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST POTENTIALLY RELATED AUTHORITIES | NDEP EXPECTATION UNDER DEFERRAL |
|---|---|---|
| 11. Address Use of Mine Waste as Construction Materials in YPT Community (fill under houses, road base, etc.) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The EPA understands that this condition may exist on specific areas of the reservation, but does not have evidence pointing to an actual event when this may have occurred. This area of potential concern is not currently part of the ongoing remedial investigation under a CERCLA lead site. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> NDEP anticipates the Tribe's request to address the use of mine waste as construction materials in the Tribal community is an issue that will be resolved, if appropriate, through remedial investigation, a feasibility study, and remedy selection and implementation; or, alternatively, through natural resource damage assessment, investigation, planning, and restoration or recovery. NDEP does not consider this issue as one that may be resolved prior to deferral. |
| 12. Tribal Approval of All Seed Mixes and other Components of Revegetation Plans | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> CERCLA § 126(a) – Provides that EPA and federal recognized tribes have a government to government relationship. CERCLA §104(c)(2) – Requires EPA to consult with tribes on remedial actions. CERCLA § 104(d) – Authorizes EPA to enter into a cooperative agreement with a tribe to carry out response actions if the tribe is deemed capable of carrying out such actions. EPA Policy (1984) – Requires EPA to assure that tribal interests are considered whenever EPA's actions and/or decisions may affect reservation environments. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> NDEP considers Tribal consultation on decisional documents a key component to the success of Site deferral. NDEP will follow EPA's policy with respect to Tribal consultation on decisional documents. NDEP requires Tribal assistance in finding legal support for its request to be granted authority to approve decisional documents after Site deferral. |
| 13. Is the timeframe for the deferral process too compressed? | | It is anticipated the EPA will be making a final decision on deferring final listing on the NPL in mid-August 2017, since requests for NPL listing are expected, based on past experience, to be published in the Federal Register in September 2017. NDEP has been working with Anaconda Mine site stakeholders since March 2017 to identify and understand any significant and valid concerns to the proposed deferral path. NDEP will continue to work |

| PRE ROUNDTABLE ITEMS | NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST POTENTIALLY RELATED AUTHORITIES | NDEP EXPECTATION UNDER DEFERRAL |
|---|---|---|
| | | with stakeholders into early August until it is time for the EPA to decide whether there is a viable option for deferral or to continue on the NPL track NDEP believes that the 4-5 months available to document the level of community support for this option is acceptable. |
| 14. When is NDEP expecting to supply draft final documents for stakeholder review? | | NDEP has developed and distributed a deferral process schedule to provide an estimated time when documents will be available for review and comment. NDEP will continue to update the schedule as necessary. The draft final Deferral Agreement, draft final Interim Administrative Order on Consent, draft final RI/FS and RD/RA Statements of Work, Operations and Maintenance Work Plan for the Arimetco Heap Leach Fluid Management System, and Framework for Agreement were provided to stakeholders for review on June 14 th . |
| 15. Request for employment on cleanup activities from the Community/Tribal Employment Office. | | NDEP supports this opportunity and is in discussion with NDOT to understand the process they've developed, which we understand has been successful in the past. NDEP plans to recommend this procurement process to contractors, if it appears viable. |

| PRE ROUNDTABLE ITEMS | NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST POTENTIALLY RELATED AUTHORITIES | NDEP EXPECTATION UNDER DEFERRAL |
|--|---|--|
| 16. Will EPA continue to have the lead/or final regulatory authority over the site in a manner acceptable to CERCLA. | | Under the proposed deferral agreement, the State will be the lead regulatory authority, and the EPA will periodically review and be briefed by NDEP on site progress to determine if the response is progressing adequately and remains CERCLA-protective. In this scenario, the site work will continue to be conducted in a CERCLA-protective manner. The EPA will have an opportunity to review NDEP's remedy certification and completion report and other documents on request. EPA may require further action if it determines the response is not progressing adequately or is not CERCLA-protective. |
| 17. Will EPA regulatory authority be over all the Operable Units or just OU8? | | Under the proposed deferral agreement, the State will have regulatory authority over each operable unit at the Site including OU-8. |
| 18. Will EPA have site visits to inspect the work being done? | | NDEP will share information with EPA on a regular basis, and if EPA requests a site visit, NDEP will arrange and coordinate that visit. |
| 19. Will the site stay on the Region 9 Superfund site list until it is cleaned up meeting CERCLA standards? | | The Anaconda Copper Mine Site will remain on the CERCLIS list, but will not be on the NPL list. As discussed above, after the remedy is deemed complete and CERCLA-protective the EPA will not evaluate the Site further for NPL listing or another response unless and until EPA receives new information of a release or potential release posing a substantial threat to human health or the environment. |
| 20. Will our expectations and criteria be met by using CERCLA cleanup requirements? | | The Deferral Agreement between EPA and NDEP requires a CERCLA-protective cleanup of the site. |
| 21. Will the Draft Plan match the key components, process and compliance | | All closure plans will follow and meet CERCLA-protective requirements. |

| PRE ROUNDTABLE ITEMS | NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST POTENTIALLY RELATED AUTHORITIES | NDEP EXPECTATION UNDER DEFERRAL |
|--|---|--|
| criteria of a CERCLA listed site cleanup? | | |
| 22. Does the State have Remedial Action Requirements (RAOs) like EPA does? We would want to see a cleanup plan that uses these objectives. | | The State will utilize remedial action objectives that are CERCLA-protective. At larger state lead cleanups, NDEP uses a remedial action objective approach and can provide some examples of state lead cleanups that use this approach. |
| 23. Will the work plans have a schedule for remediation of the site with time line for meeting work and deliverables along with a penalty system for delays and unacceptable work products to be met? We do not want to see plans that are for stages of work that will go over a long period of time. We are concerned with this issue because the NDEP OU8 closure plan had scheduling through 2025, which seems too long. | | There will be a remediation and compliance schedule established for all components of Site work. There will be stipulated penalties for failure to meet deadlines or comply with approved plans in the Interim Administrative Order on Consent between NDEP and ARC. NDEP is discussing plans to perform the cleanup actions more holistically for the entire Site to gain efficiency as well as produce a faster final closure for the entire Site. |
| 24. What involvement will the EPA have in this evolving process? | | The EPA will be evaluating public acceptance of this proposed deferral path, reviewing documents and agreements establishing rules of engagement between NDEP and ARC for a CERCLA protective approach to the site cleanup, and identifying any significant and valid concerns to the deferral path |
| 25. Does the EPA still have regulatory authority over the site? | | The EPA will have lead regulatory authority over the site until a deferral agreement is signed, at which time NDEP will assume the lead role and EPA will review site activities on a periodic basis to determine if the State's response is making adequate progress and remains CERCLA-protective. |

| PRE ROUNDTABLE ITEMS | NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST POTENTIALLY RELATED AUTHORITIES | NDEP EXPECTATION UNDER DEFERRAL |
|--|---|--|
| 26. Will remediation plans need to have the support of Region IX EPA? | | NDEP will brief EPA on the remediation plans. If EPA determines the response is not progressing adequately or does not remain CERCLA-protective, EPA has the authority to terminate the Deferral Agreement and require response actions. |
| 27. What standards for cleanup will be included? Are those different than what the EPA would require? | | The standards for cleanup will be the same for NDEP as they would be for the EPA, if they remained in the lead regulatory capacity. |
| 28. The staff of the NDEP has plenty to do already. If the NDEP is the primary oversight agency, who will pay for the additional staff time. How many additional staff FTE's will be allocated to the Yerington Mine? | | ARC will reimburse NDEP for staff time and contract technical support necessary to provide oversight for the cleanup. NDEP anticipates that at least two additional staff positions and specialized technical support contractors will be required to support project oversight. |
| 29. Under Superfund status, funding is (presently) potentially available for remediation of portions of the site that Arimetco created. Are these portions of the site going to be remediated? Who will pay for this work? | | Remediation of the Arimetco orphan share is one of the highest priorities for the site and will be the focus of capping and closure. ARC will be providing the private funding source for the largest financial share of the closure costs with the State providing a cost share in the same amount as would be required to provide if the site was listed on the NPL. |

| PRE ROUNDTABLE ITEMS | NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST POTENTIALLY RELATED AUTHORITIES | NDEP EXPECTATION UNDER DEFERRAL |
|---|---|--|
| <p>30. EPA has requirements for public involvement, education, and notification of activities that will occur on the site. What sort of process will the NDEP use to ensure that the public knows what is going on at the site.</p> | | <p>NDEP will ensure public involvement that is substantially similar to the intent of the National Contingency Plan, in accordance with the Community Involvement and Participation Plan finalized for the Site. NDEP will prepare a draft Community Involvement and Participation Plan (Plan) within 90–days after this Deferral Agreement is executed. The public will have 30 days to review the Plan and provide comments. NDEP will prepare a final Plan, 45 days after the public review and comment period closes. The Plan will be designed to satisfy the requirements of the NPL Deferral Guidance, NDEP’s regulations, and the unique needs of the Site and surrounding community. NDEP will also ensure the following actions are undertaken:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Site files will be maintained at NDEP offices located at 901 South Stewart Street, Carson City, Nevada 89701. 2. Site related documents will be available at one or more locations near the Site. 3. Site related information will be provided to community groups. 4. Through the Plan, the affected community will be able to acquire technical assistance in interpreting information with regard to the nature of the hazard, investigations, and studies conducted, and implementation decisions at the Site. |

| PRE ROUNDTABLE ITEMS | NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST POTENTIALLY RELATED AUTHORITIES | NDEP EXPECTATION UNDER DEFERRAL |
|--|---|---|
| 31. Will a schedule for remediation activities be produced early on in the process? As everyone is aware, a large amount of work on the site has been completed. How will the schedule be altered to allow the remediation to move forward under NDEP oversight? | | There will be a remediation and compliance schedule established early on for all components of Site work both in the deferral agreement and in more detail in the Interim Administrative Order on Consent between NDEP and ARC. Under a deferral path and State lead, a defined schedule for overall site closure will be established. |
| 32. The pit lake at Yerington presently (probably) violates Nevada pit lake criteria for protection of avian wildlife (selenium). Will the pit lake be considered in the remediation of the site to allow it to be potentially used as a recreational resource, or otherwise be treated to remove the wildlife threat? | | The remedy for the pit lake (OU-2) will be developed based on the results of the RI/FS and human health and ecological risk assessments as part of the site-wide remedy. All exposure pathways will be evaluated. |
| 33. Recognizing that a copper ore body potentially exists at the site, will this be included in the ultimate remediation plans. | | The State acknowledges that the potential for re-mining exists at the site. As is the case with managing all sites (including NPL sites) under CERCLA, remediation plans will need to account for anticipated future land uses, including re-mining. This consideration will also be reflected in any institutional controls, such as land use restrictions and future soil management plans, to ensure that any future activities remain protective. |

| MAY 23 rd ROUNDTABLE ITEM | NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST POTENTIALLY RELATED AUTHORITIES | NDEP EXPECTATION UNDER DEFERRAL |
|--|---|---|
| 34. Will NDEP adhere to CERCLA standards, human health standards, and environmental standards? | | The deferral agreement between the State and the EPA and the Interim Administrative Order on Consent between the State and ARC require a CERCLA-protective cleanup, which incorporates current human health and environmental industry standards. |
| 35. Will the remedial actions remove the source of contamination? | | A number of remedial alternatives will be proposed and evaluated in the feasibility study associated with the source area. The final preferred remedial alternative will be identified in the Proposed Plan for public review and comment. NDEP recognizes the CERCLA preferences for treatment and that source removal is one effective way to remediate specific areas of the site, but there may be other methods evaluated in the feasibility study that could be deemed just as protective depending on the results of the remedial investigation and risk evaluation. |
| 36. Will remedial technologies be reliable? | | Remedial technology alternatives will be proposed and evaluated in feasibility studies for review and approval by NDEP under a deferral scenario. The final preferred remedial alternative will be identified in the Proposed Plan for public review and comment. NDEP will evaluate each proposed remedy for long-term reliability. |
| 37. Will there be local community and company workforce involvement? | | NDEP has heard this request in a number of different meetings from different stakeholders. NDEP understands that the Nevada Department of Transportation has developed a successful program to support local communities with a workforce program and this information will be passed onto ARC as a consideration when workforce procurement occurs in the future. NDEP has also been made aware of the local Counseling and Supportive Services Program in Yerington, |

| MAY 23 rd ROUNDTABLE ITEM | NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST POTENTIALLY RELATED AUTHORITIES | NDEP EXPECTATION UNDER DEFERRAL |
|---|---|---|
| | | supported by the Nevada Division of Public and Behavioral Health, which could provide local labor for the proposed work. |
| 38. Will there be stakeholder meetings and Community Involvement Plan (CIP) review? | | NDEP will continue to offer stakeholder and public meetings to the community, if the site is deferred from further consideration on the NPL list and NDEP becomes the lead regulator. NDEP will provide the stakeholder group with an outline of a Community Involvement Plan (CIP) prior to deferral and then NDEP will prepare a draft CIP within 90-days after this Deferral Agreement is executed. The public will have 30-days to review the CIP and provide comments. NDEP will prepare a final CIP 45-days after the public review and comment period closes. The CIP will be designed to satisfy the requirements of the NPL Deferral Guidance, NDEP's regulations, and the unique needs of the Site and surrounding community. |
| 39. Will the EPA have continued input and involvement? | | The EPA will be provided with documents for periodic review and be briefed by NDEP on site progress to determine if the response is progressing adequately and remains CERCLA-protective. The EPA will have an opportunity to review NDEP's remedy certification and completion report and other documents as requested. |
| 40. Will schedules for cleanup be made available to the public? | | Work deliverable schedules associated with the activities contemplated in the first Record of Decision for the site are provided in the Statement of Work for Remedial Design and Remedial Action and in the Statement of Work for the Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study. These documents have been provided to you for review and |

| MAY 23 rd ROUNDTABLE ITEM | NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST POTENTIALLY RELATED AUTHORITIES | NDEP EXPECTATION UNDER DEFERRAL |
|--|---|--|
| | | comment. Future proposed cleanup activity documents for the site will also contain schedules. |
| 41. Deferral evaluation timeline is too short. Why not push this off until next year? | | There is limited evaporation pond capacity remaining at the site, which requires a decision to be made this year as to a funding source and plan to cap the Arimetco portion of the site (OU-8) and minimize future fluid draindown. If the Yerington area experiences another fall/winter with high precipitation, similar to 2016/2017, NDEP and EPA are concerned about potential challenges associated with pond operations and maintenance and containing fluids generated from the heap leach material. |
| 42. Would prefer that the CIP come out before the deferral agreement. | | NDEP is currently interested in understanding the level of community acceptance for deferring the site from NPL listing, so the current community involvement is focused on that specific agenda. If the site is deferred by EPA, NDEP will work with the community on a comprehensive CIP as described above in #30 and #37. |
| 43. What does “Community Acceptance” mean with respect to the deferral? Who needs to agree? | | If the EPA determines that the community has significant and valid concerns to the deferral that cannot be resolved after the NDEP has completed its community outreach, the EPA may decide to deny the NDEP’s request to defer the site. While community acceptance is a critical aspect of the deferral evaluation process, community consensus is not required for deferral. The NDEP and EPA must rely on their best professional judgment to determine the composition of the affected community and who represents it, the validity of the concerns that the community expresses, the opportunity to accommodate community concerns, and the |

| MAY 23 rd ROUNDTABLE ITEM | NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST POTENTIALLY RELATED AUTHORITIES | NDEP EXPECTATION UNDER DEFERRAL |
|--|---|--|
| | | potential impact of proceeding without community consensus. |
| 44. Does the EPA reserve the right to terminate the deferral agreement? Under what conditions? How will the EPA know if the community and tribal concerns are not being met? | | The EPA reserves the right to terminate deferral under specific terms and conditions provided for in the deferral agreement between the EPA and NDEP. One factor that must be considered before making a determination to terminate deferral is whether the community members or the Tribal Governments have identified significant and valid concerns to the protectiveness or timeliness of the response actions implemented by NDEP and whether those concerns have been adequately addressed by NDEP. Prior to terminating deferral, EPA must notify NDEP of the basis for which EPA seeks to terminate deferral and allow NDEP at least 45 days and not more than 90 day to meet and discuss these concerns in lieu of termination. |
| 45. What will EPA's ongoing role be? | | NDEP and EPA will remain in contact throughout site deferral. EPA will review NDEP's semi-annual and annual reports and consult with NDEP on the proposed remedy. EPA may request reports, data, or other documentation related to the remedial activities at the Site, as it deems appropriate, or arrange for NDEP to provide certain draft documents for EPA's review, as they are prepared. |
| 46. What is NDEP's capacity to handle this project? How will they pay for it? | | NDEP anticipates that at least two additional staff positions and specialized technical support contractors will be required to support project oversight, in addition to the current staff that have institutional knowledge of the site. ARC will reimburse NDEP for staff time and contract technical support necessary to provide oversight for the cleanup. NDEP has a number of different |

| MAY 23 rd ROUNDTABLE ITEM | NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST POTENTIALLY RELATED AUTHORITIES | NDEP EXPECTATION UNDER DEFERRAL |
|--|---|---|
| | | funding accounts that have been set up over the years to support the 7.8% cost share. |
| 47. Why the change now? Why is ARC willing to pay OU-8 cleanup costs, if they're not responsible for the Arimetco portion of the site? Is there a compromise, difference or sacrifice to the cleanup approach? | | This question was addressed by ARC representative, Brian Johnson, at the roundtable meeting on May 23, 2017. NDEP received the impression that ARC will gain more certainty and construction efficiencies in the remedial phase of the project by working with NDEP as the lead regulatory agency. ARC is willing to take on the orphan share portion of the cleanup in exchange for an opportunity to view this mine cleanup more holistically and progressively, while still providing a CERCLA-protective cleanup. |
| 48. What is meant by a holistic approach to cleanup? | | In order to gain full mine site closure in an efficient and effective manner, it makes sense to mobilize earthwork equipment and close the entire former mine site with one holistic plan that incorporates storm water runoff control management, borrow source procurement, cover design and construction, and pond design, placement and construction. |
| 49. Is groundwater cleanup still on the table? | | Groundwater cleanup alternatives will be evaluated in a feasibility study for Operable Unit 1. |
| 50. Will public documents be available online? | | <p>The NDEP has placed the initial documents for review on the EPA-contracted share point site and will continue to utilize this site, or one like it, for public document review.</p> <p>Public Document Repository Site URL: http://anaconda.epapublicrecords.com Public access credentials: User Name: public@epapublicrecords.com Password: Copper12#</p> |

| MAY 23 rd ROUNDTABLE ITEM | NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST POTENTIALLY RELATED AUTHORITIES | NDEP EXPECTATION UNDER DEFERRAL |
|--|---|---|
| 51. There are technical questions regarding the pit lake, radiation control, long term monitoring and waste rock use in remediation. | | Each of these areas and the constituents of concern associated with them will be fully evaluated through a CERCLA-equivalent remedial investigation and feasibility study prior to development of a proposed plan, preferred remedy, and record of decision. Technical questions regarding specific areas of the site will be welcome during the proposed plan phase of the CERCLA process. |
| 52. Will there be method evaluation and research support for remediation techniques? | | The Deferral Agreement requires a CERCLA-equivalent Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study. Remediation techniques will be thoroughly researched and evaluated as part of the feasibility study. |
| 53. What's ARC's liability for the Arimetco orphan share? | | ARC maintains that it is not liable for the former Arimetco (OU-8) portion of the site. |
| 54. YPT wants to keep the lines of communication clear and agency outreach going to the proper tribal personnel | | NDEP will ensure public involvement that is substantially similar to the intent of the National Contingency Plan, in accordance with the Community Involvement and Participation Plan finalized for the Site. NDEP respects the tribal hierarchy and will provide clear communication to our counterparts representing the YPT. |
| 55. YPT wants to maintain environmental programs and continue same level of participation and financial support. | | Please refer to #1 above. |
| 56. Continue community outreach and education to tribal members. | | Please refer to #2 above. |
| 57. Request cultural monitors to support and oversee fieldwork. | | Please refer to #4 above. |
| 58. YPT requests ability to comment on documents equal to the current EPA process. | | Please refer to #3 above. |

| MAY 23 rd ROUNDTABLE ITEM | NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST POTENTIALLY RELATED AUTHORITIES | NDEP EXPECTATION UNDER DEFERRAL |
|--|---|--|
| 59. How will the State handle tribal trust property (the reservation) and tribal regulations as ARARs under the State process? How will the remedy be determined on trust land for OU-7? State authority doesn't extend there. | | The EPA, NDEP and YPT have engaged in separate discussions regarding tribal regulations as ARARs and how a remedy for Site areas on the reservation may vary based on tribal ARARs. To the extent that past mine practices and releases from the former mine have impacted the reservation, full consideration will be given to tribal ARARs and remedy selection and action that support those ARARs. NDEP intends to enter into an MOU that governs any response actions on tribal land. |
| 60. How will Natural Resource Damage claims be settled? | | If the site is deferred and NDEP becomes the lead regulatory authority, the Natural Resource Damage claim and settlement process will not be affected. |
| 61. The reservation has water treatment costs and a current bottled water program. When does it end and does the State then make decisions? The tribe needs a sustainable drinking water source. | | NDEP will work with the tribe under deferral in the same manner as the EPA when it comes to protecting the tribe's groundwater resources and making sure the tribe has a sustainable drinking water source. Bottled water is typically required during an interim period while a drinking water source is impacted and no other source of clean drinking water is available. If the tribe encounters this situation due to impacts to groundwater from the mine site, then bottled water should be made available. |
| 62. Will there be a storm water management control plan for OU-7 as it flows through the reservation? | | NDEP anticipates that a storm water management plan will be developed for OU-7 as part of the remedy for that area of the site. NDEP will work with the tribe to understand if there are any tribal ARARs that might affect the way the remedy is implemented on the reservation. |
| 63. Request preferential use of local businesses and tribal workforce. | | Refer to #5 above. |

| MAY 23 rd ROUNDTABLE ITEM | NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST POTENTIALLY RELATED AUTHORITIES | NDEP EXPECTATION UNDER DEFERRAL |
|---|---|--|
| 64. Homes on reservation were built on mine fill. Can this be cleaned up? Are there clean-up funds for this? | | Refer to #11 above. |
| 65. Request seed mixes be developed to support and address cultural resources. | | Refer to #12 above. |
| 66. What will the fiscal impact to Lyon County be, since the State will be paying for a share of the Arimetco portion of the cleanup? | | There will be zero fiscal impact to Lyon County with respect to the State cost share of 7.8%, because NDEP is not requesting this funding from the State General Fund. NDEP will use separate funding sources for the 7.8% cost share. Some positive fiscal impact to the Yerington community may be realized due to the amount of construction planned over the next 10 years and local services that will be necessary to support this work. |